Prospects for an experiment to measure $BR(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v})$ at the CERN SPS

Matthew Moulson INFN Frascati for the NA62-KLEVER Project

Physics Beyond Colliders Kickoff Workshop CERN, 7 September 2016

$K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ in the Standard Model

FCNC processes dominated by *Z*-penguin and box amplitudes:



Extremely rare decays with rates very precisely predicted in SM:

- Hard GIM mechanism + pattern of CKM suppression $(V_{ts}^* V_{td})$
- No long-distance contributions from amplitudes with intermediate photons
- Hadronic matrix element obtained from $BR(K_{e3})$ via isospin rotation

	SM predicted rates Buras et al, JHEP 1511*	Experimental status
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ v \overline{v}$	BR = (8.4 ± 1.0) × 10 ⁻¹¹	BR = (17.3 $^{+11.5}_{-10.5}$) × 10 ⁻¹¹ Stopped <i>K</i> ⁺ , 7 events observed BNL 787/949, PRD79 (2009)
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$	BR = (3.4 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻¹¹	BR < 2600 × 10⁻¹¹ 90%CL KEK 391a, PRD81 (2010)

* Tree-level determinations of CKM matrix elements

Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016

2

$K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ and the unitarity triangle

Dominant uncertainties for SM BRs are from CKM matrix elements

$$BR(K^{+} \to \pi^{+} v \bar{v}) = (8.39 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-11} \cdot \left[\frac{|V_{cb}|}{0.0407}\right]^{2.8} \cdot \left[\frac{\gamma}{73.2^{\circ}}\right]^{0.74}$$
Buras et al.,

$$JHEP \ 1511$$

$$BR(K_{L} \to \pi^{0} v \bar{v}) = (3.36 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-11} \cdot \left[\frac{|V_{ub}|}{3.88 \times 10^{-3}}\right]^{2} \cdot \left[\frac{|V_{cb}|}{0.0407}\right]^{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin 73.2^{\circ}}\right]^{2}$$

- Intrinsic theory uncertainties ~ few percent
- BR measurements for both *K*⁺ and *K*_{*L*} determine the unitarity triangle independently from *B* inputs



1.5

excluded area has CL > 0.9

 $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ (NA62)

3

 $\overline{\eta}$

Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v v$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016

$K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ and new physics

New physics affects BRs differently for K^+ and K_L channels Measurements of both can discriminate among NP scenarios



- Models with CKM-like flavor structure

 Models with MFV
- Models with new flavorviolating interactions in which either LH or RH couplings dominate
 - –Z/Z' models with pure LH/RH couplings
 - Littlest Higgs with
 T parity
- Models without above constraints

 Randall-Sundrum

$K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ and new physics

General agreement of flavor observables with SM \rightarrow invocation of MFV

Long before recent flavor results from LHC

But NP may simply occur at a higher mass scale

Null results from direct searches at LHC so far

Indirect probes to explore high mass scales become very interesting!

$K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ is uniquely sensitive to high mass scales

Tree-level flavor changing *Z*' LH+RH couplings

- Some fine-tuning around constraint from ε_K
- $K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ sensitive to mass scales up to 2000 TeV
 - Up to tens of TeV even if LH couplings only
- Order of magnitude higher than for *B* decays



5

The NA62 experiment at the SPS



Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016

0

NA62 (

$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$: experimental considerations

Essential signature: 2γ with unbalanced p_{\perp} + nothing else!

All other K_L decays have $\ge 2 \text{ extra } \gamma \text{s or } \ge 2 \text{ tracks to veto}$ (Exception: $K_L \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, not a big problem since $p_\perp = 0$)

 $M(\gamma\gamma) = m(\pi^{0}) \text{ is the only sharp kinematic constraint}$ Generally used to reconstruct vertex position $m_{\pi^{0}}^{2} = 2E_{1}E_{2}(1 - \cos\theta)$ $R_{1} \approx R_{2} \equiv R = \frac{d\sqrt{E_{1}E_{2}}}{m_{\pi^{0}}} \qquad K_{L}$

Main backgrounds:

 $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ with 2 lost γ s BR = 8.65 × 10⁻⁴

 $n + gas \rightarrow X\pi^0, X\eta$

Hermetic veto, including beam exit

High vacuum decay region

$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$ at J-PARC



Current status:

- Reached 42 kW of beam power in 2015
- Preliminary result on part of 2015 data expected soon (KAON 2016?)
- SES to 5.9×10^{-9} , expected background = 0.26 events

Upgrade path to increase beam power to 100 kW by 2019

• K_L yield 2.4× expected value \rightarrow may reach SM sensitivity

 $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$ at J-PARC

KOTO Step 2 upgrade:

- Increase beam power to >100 kW (Originally 450 kW)
- New neutral beamline at 5° $\langle p(K_L) \rangle = 5.2 \text{ GeV}$
- Increase FV from 2 m to 11 m Complete rebuild of detector
- Requires extension of hadron hall



9

Strong intention to upgrade to 100 event sensitivity

- No official Step 2 proposal yet (plan outlined in 2006 KOTO proposal)
- Scaling from original estimates: ~10 SM evts/yr per 100 kW of beam power
- New possibilities:
 - Increase slow-extracted beam power via improvements to septum
 - Use COMET pulsed-proton beam (1700 ns) to obtain TOF constraints à la KOPIO
- Indicative timescale: data taking starting 2025?

KLEVER: $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$ at the SPS

Can a competitive measurement of BR($K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$) be made at the SPS?

NA62-16-03

Status report on design studies for an experiment to measure $BR(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ at the CERN SPS

A. Bradley, M.B. Brunetti, F. Bucci, A. Cassese, N. Doble, D. Di Filippo, E. Gamberini,
L. Gatignon, A. Gianoli, E. Imbergamo, M. Lenti, S. Martellotti, A. Mazzolari, M. Moulson¹,
I. Neri, F. Petrucci, P. Rubin, R. Volpe

April 27, 2016

Interesting features:

- High-energy experiment: Complementary approach to KOTO
- Photons from K_L decays boosted forward
 - Makes photon vetoing easier veto coverage only out to 100 mrad
- Possible to re-use LKr calorimeter, NA62 experimental infrastructure?

Required intensity for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$

Assumptions:

- BR($K_L \to \pi^0 v \bar{v}$) = 3.4 × 10⁻¹¹
- Acceptance for decays in FV $\sim 10\%$

Beam parameters:

- 400 GeV p on 400 mm Be target
- Production at **2.4 mrad** to optimize $(K_L \text{ in FV})/n$

Fiducial volume acceptance ~ 2%

 $3 \times 10^{13} K_L$ decay in FV for 100 signal evts

 $2.8 \times 10^{-5} K_L$ in beam/pot



Neutral beamline layout

Beam acceptance

- $\Delta \theta \rightarrow 0.3 \text{ mrad} : \Delta \Omega \rightarrow 0.283 \text{ } \mu \text{sr}$
 - Just fits inside LKr central vacuum tube (r = 80 mm)

Collimators:

- **1. Dump collimator:** TAX1/2 (r = 5 mm) moved forward to z = 15 m
 - 2 vertical sweeping magnets upstream of TAX
 - 3 horizontal muon sweeping magnets downstream of TAX
- **2. Defining collimator:** r = 42 mm at z = 60 m
 - Keep background from TAX/converter within LKr bore (r < 120 mm)
- **3. Final collimator:** z = 105 m to remove upstream decay products
 - Regenerated K_S reduced to 10⁻⁴ between defining and final collimators
 - Regenerated K_S reduced to 10⁻⁴ over 45 m to final collimator

Photon converter between TAX1/2

- Explore use of crystal converter to optimize K_L transmission
 - Pair production enhanced by coherent effects in crystals

Neutral beamline layout



Beam simulation and flux estimates



Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016

Detector layout for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$



Vacuum tank layout and FV similar to NA62

90-m distance from FV to LKr significantly helps background rejection

- Most $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ decays with lost photons occur just upstream of the LKr
- " π^0 s" from mispaired γ s are mainly reconstructed downstream of FV



Detector layout for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$



Active final collimator (AFC) to veto upstream decays

- 25 m of vacuum upstream of final collimator No obstruction for γ s from decays with 80 m < z < 105 m
- Outer ring: Shashlyk calorimeter, Pb/scint in 1:5 ratio
 10 cm < r < 1 m, 1/3 of total rate
- Inner ring: LYSO collar counter, 80 cm deep, shaped crystals
 4.2 cm < r < 10 cm, 2/3 of total rate

Detector layout for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$



26 new large-angle photon veto stations (LAV)

- 5 sizes, sensitive radius 0.9 to 1.6 m, at intervals of 4 to 6 m
- Hermetic coverage out to 100 mrad for E_{ν} down to ~100 MeV
- Baseline technology: Lead/scintillator tile with WLS readout Based on design of CKM VVS Assumed efficiency based on E949 and CKM VVS experience

Detector layout for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$



Small-angle photon veto systems (IRC, SAC)

- Reject high-energy γ s from $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ escaping through beam hole
- Must be insensitive as possible to 3 GHz of beam neutrons

	Rate (MHz)	Req. 1 – ε
γ, E > 5 GeV	230	10 ⁻²
γ, E > 30 GeV	20	10 ⁻⁴
п	3000	_

Baseline solution:

• Tungsten/silicon-pad sampling calorimeter with crystal metal absorber

Detector layout for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$



Charged particle rejection

- Charged particle vetoes (CPV): Scintillating tiles, 5 m upstream of LKr
- Re-use NA62 hadronic calorimeters (MUV1/2, not shown) Ratio of hadronic/total energy effective to identify π showers
- **LKr shower profile:** Use cluster RMS to identify and reject π

$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ rejection

 $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ simulated with fast MC (5 yr equivalent statistics)

- Accept only events with 2 γ s in LKr and no hits in AFC, LAV, IRC/SAC
- Distinguish between even/odd pairs and events with fused clusters
- 1. Require $z_{rec}(m_{\gamma\gamma} = m_{\pi 0})$ in fiducial volume (105 m < z < 155 m)



2. Require $r_{\rm min}$ > 35 cm on LKr and $p_{\perp}(\pi^0)$ > 0.12 GeV



Prospects to measure $K_I \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016

$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$ acceptance

Cut stage	Cut eff.	Cuml. eff.
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$ evts with 2γ on LKr	2.0%	2.0%
$z_{ m rec}(m_{\gamma\gamma}=m_{\pi0})$ in FV	31%	0.62%
$r_{\rm min}$ > 35 cm on LKr	42%	0.26%
$p_{\perp}(\pi^0) > 0.12 \text{ GeV}$	78%	0.20%

Alternatively:

- 2.2% K_L decay in FV
- 27% $\pi^0 v \bar{v}$ with 2 γ on LKr

$$\pi^0$$
 in $\pi^0 v \overline{v}$ has large E_{kin}
 $V - A$ matrix element



With:

←

- 10¹⁹ pot/year
- 2.8 × 10⁻⁵ K_L/pot

• BR =
$$3.4 \times 10^{-11}$$

• $\varepsilon_{total} = 0.20\%$

19.4 $\pi^0 v \bar{v}$ evts/year

excluding transmission losses from γ converter

Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016 21

$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$ sensitivity summary

Channel	Simulated statistics	Events found	Expected in 5 yrs*
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$	100k yr	1.94M	97
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	5 yr	111	111
$K_L ightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ All bkg evts from cluster fusion Upstream decays not yet included	1 yr	3	15
$K_L \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ p_\perp cut very effective	3 yr	0	0
$K_L \rightarrow$ charged	$K_L \rightarrow charged$ thought to be reducible		

*Must subtract 35% for K_L losses in dump γ converter

~ 60 SM $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$ in 5 years with $S/B \sim 1$

Background study incomplete!

 π^0 from interactions of halo neutrons on residual gas, detector materials Radiative K_L decays, K_S /hyperon decays

Items requiring particular attention

Feasibility of obtaining required intensity

- Extent & costs of upgrades to beam transport from extraction point to T10 and to TCC8 cavern infrastructure
- Requires collaboration with ATS

Viability of concept for small-angle calorimeter

Realistic simulation of pair-creation enhancement in crystal absorber

Background rates from neutron halo and beam-gas interactions

Validation of LKr efficiency and two-cluster separation using NA62 data

• Investigation of readout improvements to optimize LKr time resolution?

Add detail to simulation of beamline and detector

- Use this simulation to perform general survey of backgrounds
 - Radiative decays, K_S /hyperon decays

Possibility of adding charged-particle tracking to layout

- Final-state reconstruction for efficiency estimation & systematic control
- Possible expansion of physics program ($K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$, LFV, etc.)

Summary and outlook

1. Flavor will play an important role in identifying new physics, even if NP is found at the LHC

New physics found at LHC Explore flavor structure of "new" SM Obtain **precision information** from measurements of $K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ No new physics from LHC

Explore extremely high mass scales with indirect probes

 $K \rightarrow \pi v \overline{v}$ uniquely sensitive

2. NA62 and KOTO Step 1 results will arrive within next few years

NA62/KOTO obtain unexpected results Precise measurement of

 $BR(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu})$ extremely interesting

NA62/KOTO obtain SM results BR $(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}) \sim (0.5 - 2)$ SM not excluded: precise measurement may still reveal NP

3. An experiment to measure BR($K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$) with ~ 60 SM event sensitivity and *S/B* ~ 1 can be performed at the CERN SPS with 5 × 10¹⁹ pot

Additional information

$K \rightarrow \pi v \bar{v}$ and other flavor observables

Simplified Z, Z' model used as paradigm

Buras, Buttazzo, Knegjens, JHEP 1511

CMFV hypothesis:

Constraints from *B* and *K* observables



Constraints from *K* observables:

- ε_K , ΔM_K
- $\varepsilon' / \varepsilon, K \to \mu \mu$ (for modfied Z)



Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016 26

Feasibility of intensity upgrade

2 × 10¹³ *p*/16.8 s = 6× increase in intensity relative to NA62 Tight neutral beam collimation Longer K_L lifetime ($\tau_L/\tau_+ \sim 5$)

Max. intensity from SPS to North Area (TT20): 4 × 10¹³ ppp Must be divided among users: T2 + T4 + T6

2 × 10¹³ ppp not currently available on North Area targets

Target area and transfer lines would require upgrades

- Minimization of consequences of beam loss
- Additional shielding against continuous small losses
- Study issues of equipment survival, e.g., TAX motors
- Ventilation, zone segmentation, etc.

Detailed solutions & meaningful cost estimates would require serious study by the CERN ATS, as was done for SHiP

Detector layout for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$



Roughly same vacuum tank layout and fiducial volume as NA62

- Upstream edge of vacuum tank 20 m in front of AFC
- FV starts ~105 m downstream of target decay away Λ , K_S
- About 2.2% of K_L in beam decay in FV

Possible to re-use NA48 LKr calorimeter (?)

26 new large-angle photon veto stations (LAV), coverage to 100 mrad New small-angle photon veto detectors (IRC/SAC)

Detector layout for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \bar{v}$



Assumed 1 – ε for indicative values of E_{γ}

AF	FC	LA	V	LK	۲r	SAC	0
Required p	erformance	From E949,	CKM VVS	Standard N	A62 values	Required per	rformance
< 50 MeV	1	< 20 MeV	1	< 1 GeV	1	> 5 GeV	10 ⁻²
>1 GeV	10 ⁻⁴ (in)	200 MeV	0.5%	1 GeV	0.1%	> 30 GeV	10-4
>1 GeV	10 ⁻⁵ (out)	> 0.5 GeV	5 × 10 ⁻⁶	> 10 GeV	8 × 10 ⁻⁶		

Large-angle vetoes

26 new LAV detectors providing hermetic coverage out to 100 mrad Need good detection efficiency at low energy $(1 - \varepsilon \sim 0.5\% \text{ at } 20 \text{ MeV})$

Baseline technology: CKM VVS Scintillating tile with WLS readout



Good efficiency assumptions based on E949 and CKM VVS experience

E949 barrel veto efficiencies Same construction as CKM

Tests for NA62 at Frascati BTF



1 − ε ~ 3 × 10⁻⁶ at 1200 MeV

Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016 **30**

Suitability of LKr calorimeter

Efficiency

- Same efficiency estimates as in NA62
- Slightly better efficiency for $E_{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}$
- Need to be proven with NA62 data

Two-cluster separation

- Clusters resolved if d > 6 cm in simulation
- Simplistic approach to take into account cluster-profile analysis

Time resolution

- Signal candidates all have $E_{\gamma\gamma} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ $\sigma_t = 2.5 \text{ ns}/\sqrt{E} \text{ (GeV)} \rightarrow 500 \text{ ps or better}$
- Needs improvement SAC may have O(100 MHz) accidental rate
- Possible to improve using new readout system?

LKr		
Energy (GeV)	$1 - \varepsilon$	
< 1	1	
$1 \rightarrow 5.5$	$10^{-3} \rightarrow 10^{-4}$	
$5.5 \rightarrow 7.5$	$10^{-4} \rightarrow 5 \times 10^{-5}$	
$7.5 \rightarrow 10$	$5\times10^{-5}\rightarrow10^{-5}$	
$10 \rightarrow 15$	8×10^{-6}	
> 15	4×10^{-6}	

31

Efficient y conversion with crystals

Coherent effects in crystals enhance pair-conversion probability



Use coherent effects to obtain a converter with large effective λ_{int}/X_0 :

- 1. Beam photon converter in dump collimator
 - Effective at converting beam γ s while relatively transparent to K_L
- 2. Absorber material for in-beam calorimeter (SAC)
 - Must be insensitive as possible to 3 GHz of beam neutrons while efficiently vetoing γ s from K_L decays

Work in progress in context of UA9 to introduce detailed simulation of coherent effects in crystals into Geant4

Small-angle vetoes

Proof-of-concept simulation for baseline solution:

- W-Si pad calorimeter, 14 layers × 1 mm crystal absorber, θ_{inc} = 2 mrad
 - Depth = $14X_0$ for E_{γ} = 30 GeV, but only $4X_0$ for E_{γ} = 5 GeV
- Naïve simulation of pair-conversion enhancement with Geant4:
 - Increase overall density as function of E_{γ} , instead of X_0

E_{γ} (GeV)	$ ho l ho_0$	1 <i>– ε</i>
350 GeV	3.5	5 × 10 ^{−5}
30 GeV	3.5	1 × 10 ⁻⁴
10 GeV	1.5	4.5%
5 GeV	1.0	20%

Work in progress:

Photons

- Better simulation with X_0 for photons a function of E_{γ} and θ_{γ}
 - Benefit from effort by UA9 collaborators to introduce into Geant4 detailed simulation of coherent effects in crystals
- Optimize segmentation to obtain additional γ/n separation
 - Use backstop layer with different longitudinal segmentation to identify *n*

Neutrons

50-300 GeV

1 – ε = **20%**

- E_{vis} thr. = 16 MeV chosen for E_{γ} = 30 GeV
- Inefficiency at small E_{γ} from punch through
- Need better treatment of coherent effects
- Need additional handles for γ/n separation

Charged particle rejection

 K_{e3} most dangerous mode: *e* easy to mistake for γ in LKr

Acceptance $\pi^0 v v / K_{e3} = 30 \rightarrow \text{Need } 10^{-9} \text{ suppression!}$



Prospects to measure $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 vv$ at the SPS – M. Moulson (Frascati) – Physics Beyond Colliders – CERN – 7 Sept 2016